

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/752,403 01/06/2004 Manfred Gerresheim 79891 3908 22242 7590 12/16/2004 EXAMINER FITCH EVEN TABIN AND FLANNERY JOHNSTONE, ADRIENNE C 120 SOUTH LA SALLE STREET **SUITE 1600** ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER CHICAGO, IL 60603-3406 1733

DATE MAILED: 12/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/752,403	GERRESHEIM ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAN INCO DATE	Adrienne C. Johnstone	1733
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any		
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Ja	anuani 2004	
	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims	· //	55 O.G. 215.
_		
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-18 is/are rejected.		
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5</u> is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
	election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner		`
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 January 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	The analysis of the second of	Action of form P 10-152.
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. <u>09/806,706</u> .		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage		
application from the International Bureau ((PCT Rule 17,2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
		•
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) 🗀 1	
2) Motice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary (F Paper No(s)/Mail Date	210-413) e.
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 050504	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Pat	ent Application (PTO-152)
6. Patent and Trademark Office	6)	
FOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Actio	on Summary	ort of Paner No /Mail Data 404004

Application/Control Number: 10/752,403
Art Unit: 1733

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

- 1. The incorporation of essential material in the specification by reference to a foreign application or patent, or to a publication is improper. Applicant is required to amend the disclosure to include the material incorporated by reference. The amendment must be accompanied by an affidavit or declaration executed by the applicant, or a practitioner representing the applicant, stating that the amendatory material consists of the same material incorporated by reference in the referencing application. See *In re Hawkins*, 486 F.2d 569, 179 USPQ 157 (CCPA 1973); *In re Hawkins*, 486 F.2d 579, 179 USPQ 163 (CCPA 1973); and *In re Hawkins*, 486 F.2d 577, 179 USPQ 167 (CCPA 1973).
- 2. The attempt to incorporate subject matter into this application by reference to the German priority document is improper because even nonessential subject matter cannot be incorporated by reference to an unpublished application (see MPEP 608.01(p)).
- 3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: applicants should update the continuing data in the insert before the first line of the specification and delete from the insert before the first line of the specification the improper incorporation by reference to the German priority document (see paragraphs 1 and 2 above) and the improper cross-reference to the German priority document in the specification (MPEP 608.01).

Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

4. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

- 5. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 1 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,688,357 B1. This is a double patenting rejection.
- 6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

7. Claims 1-4 and 6-17 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-15 of U.S. Patent No. 6,688,357 B1.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 1-4 and 6-17 are generic to patented claims 1-15.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claim 5 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Adrienne C. Johnstone whose telephone number is (571)272-1218. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10:30AM-7:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Blaine Copenheaver can be reached on (571)272-1156. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Adrienne C. Johnstone Primary Examiner Art Unit 1733

adveine C. Sturten

Adrienne Johnstone

December 13, 2004

?